

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,868	04/12/2001	Jori Arrakoski	NC30307	5180
30973 7:	590 11/10/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P.			CHANG, RICHARD	
5956 SHERRY	LANE		1000000	DAREN MINARER
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75225			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>K</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/833,868	ARRAKOSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard Chang	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		d .				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 August 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the appleau 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5-17 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2004 is	thdrawn from consideration. and/or election requirement. aminer. √are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object					
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath of the oath	correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in App e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 10, 12 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding to Claim 10, there is a mistyped term "LOS (line of sigh)" (claim 10, lines 2-3) in the claim 10. This should be corrected as "LOS (line of sight)" to be consistent with the disclosure in the specification.

Regarding to Claim 12, there are two mistyped words "tot" (claim 12, line 7) and "dissim" (claim 12, line 9) in the claim 12. These two words should be corrected as "to" and "dissimilar" respectively.

Regarding to Claim 14, there is a mistyped term "LOS (line of sigh)" (claim 14, line 3) in the claim 14. This should be corrected as "LOS (line of sight)" to be consistent with the disclosure in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US patent No. 6,349,091 ("Li").

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Li teaches a two-tier wireless network (2 as a wireless access network for providing radio communication of data) (See Fig. 1A) comprising means and steps of

forming a cluster (12) as the first tier of network (2) (a first-tier mesh) of a plurality of nodes (10) and within a cluster (12) the cluster head (14) (each of the first-tier nodes of the plurality of first-tier nodes) is capable of communicating data with member nodes (at least selected others of the first-tier nodes) wherein one of those cluster member nodes designated as a cluster head node (14) (at least one of the first-tier nodes forming a first-tier sink node) (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 1-9),

forming a backbone network (16) as the second tier of network (2) (at least a second-tier mesh) of a plurality of the head nodes (14) of different clusters (12) (a plurality of second-tier nodes) and within a backbone network (16) the head nodes (14) of different clusters (12) (each of the second-tier nodes of the plurality of second-tier nodes) is capable of communicating data with each other (at least selected others of the second-tier nodes),

providing dynamic selection of cluster head nodes within the backbone network (16) (at least one of the second-tier nodes forming a second-tier sink node),

facilitating communications between nodes (14) of different clusters (12) in the backbone network (16) (the second-tier sink node further capable of communicating with the first-tier sink node of said first-tier mesh) (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 9-20).

<u>Regarding claim 2</u>, Li further teaches that a two-tier wireless network (2) employs an intranet protocol for communications within the two-tier network. It is inherently that

Art Unit: 2663

the cluster (12) members (10) (the first-tier nodes of said first-tier mesh) have operational characteristics suitable to the local range node communication (operable pursuant to first-tier-mesh operational characteristics) and the nodes (14) of the backbone network (16) (the second-tier nodes of said second-tier mesh) have operational characteristics suitable to the long range cluster communication (operational pursuant to second-tier-mesh operation characteristics), and these operation characteristics are not the same (the first-tier-mesh operational characteristics ..., dissimilar) (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 17-20).

Regarding claim 3, Li further teaches that the communication within a cluster (12) (the first-tier-mesh operation characteristics) utilizes a first transmission frequency (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 5-8) and the communication within the backbone network (16) (the second-tier-mesh operation characteristics) utilizes a second transmission frequency (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 9-11) and these two transmission frequencies may be different (the first frequency bandwidth and the second ... nonoverlapping portions) (See Fig. 1A, Col 10, lines 65-66).

Regarding claim 4, Li further teaches that the head node (14) (at least one first-tier node) of the cluster (12) (said first-tier mesh) and the cluster head nodes (14) (at least one second tier node) of the backbone network (16) (said second-tier mesh) are co-located, the head node (14) of the cluster (12) (the at least one first-tier node co-located with the at least one second-tier node) capable of communicating with a plurality of nodes (10) within the cluster (12) (at least selected others of the first-tier-nodes) and the cluster head nodes (14) of the backbone network (16) (at least one second-tier node

Art Unit: 2663

co-located with the at least one first-tier node) capable of communicating with the head nodes (14) of different clusters within a backbone network (16) (at least selected others of the second-tier nodes) (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 3-14).

Regarding claim 18, Li further teaches that through the network (2) (communications network) the head node (14) (a sink node) processor examines the network connectivity information within the database of the cluster (12) (a mesh network coupled to and built around the sink node) to determine routing paths for communicating the other node (10) within the cluster (12) (capable of determining optimal routes within the network to and from the sink node) (See Fig. 1A, Col 7, lines 29-32)

Regarding claim 19, Li further teaches that the head node (14) (a sink node), the cluster (12) (a mesh network coupled to and built around the sink node) and the other node (10) within the cluster (12) form the first tier of network (2) (See Fig. 1A, Col 4, lines 5-8).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if no art rejection can be applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3129. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8 AM to 5 PM.

Art Unit: 2663

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-3126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ric rkc Richard Chang Patent Examiner Art Unit 2663

CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Sene Ti Mugen